1	Q.	For t	he budge	et items	identified belo	w, provide the following information:	
2							
			Budget Item	t	Amount	Description	
			B-46		\$282,000	Replace 136 kW Diesel Unit No. 284 – Harbour Deep	
3			B-57		\$515,000	Upgrade Diesel Plant - Harbour Deep	
4		(a)	Provid	e more	detailed ration	ale for the upgrading of the diesel plant	
5			buildin	g.			
6		(b)	Provid	e a deta	iled breakdow	n of the costs associated with the project.	
7		(c)) Provide the following for the Harbour Deep system for the period				
8			1996-2	2000:			
9			(i)	energy	sold;		
10			(ii)	annual	peak demand		
11			(iii)	capital e	expenditures;		
12			(iv)	operatir	ng costs; and		
13			(v)	the num	nber of domes	tic/commercial customers.	
14							
15		(d)	Indicat	te the via	ability of exten	ding the life of the existing plant for an	
16			additio	nal 2 to	5 years witho	ut this capital expenditure.	
17							
18	Α.	(a)	The ex	kisting fa	cility consists	of two industrial type trailers, one	
19			contair	ning the	plant equipme	ent (diesel hall) and the other containing	
20			the off	ice/stora	age/washroom	. These trailers were salvaged from a	
21			constru	uction si	te and used to	o replace an older plant in 1981.	
22							
23			The di	esel hal	is very conge	ested and doesn't meet either area or	
24			height	requirer	ments for the s	safe performance of operating and	

1		maintenance activities. With insufficient space to inst	all an adequate
2		lifting device, maintenance workers have utilized build	ling roof beams
3		in an effort to facilitate lifting of heavy engine parts rea	sulting in
4		damage to these beams. Spacing between control pa	anels and trailer
5		walls is limited and not to CSA standards. Hence, pe	rforming
6		maintenance and repairs is very difficult.	
7			
8		The plant presently has no fire detection/alarm syster	n which is
9		considered essential for semi-attended operation. Lig	ghting in the
10		engine hall and other areas of the plant is inadequate	for the operation
11		and maintenance work being performed. The upgrad	e will also
12		address environmental concerns in that the existing p	lant does not
13		provide for adequate spill containment.	
14			
15	(b)	Specific costs budgeted for the projects identified are	as follows:
16			
17		<u>Replace 136 kW Unit 284 – Harbour Deep</u>	
18		Material Supply	\$150,000
19		Labour	30,200
20		Engineering	8,000
21		Project Management	14,200
22		Inspection and Commissioning	18,000
23		Corporate O/H, IDC, Esc., Contingency	<u>61,300</u>
24		TOTAL (2002)	\$281,700
25		Engineering (2001)	<u>11,000</u>
26		TOTAL	\$292,700

NP-111 2001 General Rate Application

Page 3 of 3

1	<u>Upgrade Diesel Plant – Harbour Deep</u>	
2	External Contracts	\$290,000
3	Internal Forces	20,000
4	Land Survey/Environment	6,000
5	Engineering, Inspection, Project Mgmt., Commissioning	79,000
6	Corporate O/H, IDC, Contingency	<u>120,000</u>
7	TOTAL (2002)	515,000
8	Engineering (2001)	<u>35,000</u>
9	TOTAL	\$550,000
10		

11 (c) The following is the information for the Harbour Deep System for the
12 period 1996 – 2000:

13

	Year	Energy Sold (MWh)	Annual Peak Demand (kW)	Capital Expenditures \$	Operating Cost \$	Customers	
							General
						Domestic	Service
	1996	762	272		238,410	74	16
	1997	738	273		198,255	72	15
	1998	732	269		267,684	71	15
	1999	759	286		281,944	71	15
	2000	712	257	251,198	336,856	69	14

14

15 16 (d) It is not viable or practical to extend the life of the existing plant for an additional 2 to 5 years. Without the proposed capital expenditures, the current safety, environmental and operational issues cannot be mitigated.

17 18